

mahlath ni development foundation

Promoting collaborative, pro-poor agricultural innovation 2003-2024



mahlath ni development foundation

Vision

To support the **harmonious living** of people in their natural, social and economic environments in a way that supports and strengthens both the **people** and their **environment**.

To assist the **rural poor** to better their lives, to **diversify** their livelihoods and to face their challenges with **resilience**.



mahlath ni development foundation

Mission

To design and implement **innovative projects and programmes** which promote **collaborative**, **pro-poor** agricultural innovation, working in partnership with other organizations and communities.

To work at the cutting edge of development methodology and processes, integrating **learning** (training), **research** and **implementation** into new models and processes, emphasizing synergy and integration.



CbCCA and innovation system development: For sustainable livelihoods and climate resilience



The smallholder farming system

Smallholder farming system



The smallholder farming system

Dryland cropping of staples on small patches of available land (0,1-1ha) Smallholder

mallholde farming system

homestead food production; vegetables, fruit, small livestock

Intensive

Extensive grazing of livestock on stover and veld



The smallholder farming system





Guiding principles for innovation system development

SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL

- Encourage crop diversity and continuity
- Ensure healthy soil and focus on natural soil building techniques
- Use water and land effectively and efficiently for all purposes
- Protect natural resource base and ecosystem services
- Minimise external inputs
- Maximise internal diversity
- Enhance understanding and skills in storage, value adding, and marketing – go beyond immediate markets

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT



Guiding principles for innovation system development

SOCIO-ECONOMIC

- Focus on local solutions and economies
- Build on community-based criteria, indicators and priorities
- Generate transitional strategies
- Assess costs and benefits
- Link national and local planning mechanisms
- Strengthen local networks
- Promote values other than financial values
- Prioritize locally appropriate actions
- Work together, learn together, plan together



ACTIVITY: Water and Natural Resource Management for sustainable and productive use of land and water

- Community owned local water access: Water committees, spring protection, water reticulation, pipes and tanks at homestead level
- Soil and water conservation: village-based learning groups in Climate Change Adaptation undertake resource conservation activities









ACTIVITY: Climate Resilient Agriculture and innovation system development for sustainable and productive use of land and water

- **Conservation/ Regenerative Agriculture:** (LEI) Quantitative research support to the Smallholder Farmer Innovation Programme; intercropping, crop rotation, cover crops, fodder production
- Livestock integration: Winter fodder supplementation, hay baling, conservation agreements, local livestock auctions
- Intensive homestead food production: Agroecology; tunnels, trench beds, crop diversification, mulching, greywater management, fruit production
- Village savings and loan associations: Village based savings groups for savings and small loans for productive activities
- Local marketing and food systems: Monthly produce market stalls organised per village, exploration of further marketing options, small

mills for maize















Putting the bits together for locally led adaptation



Build improved systems and social agency

Identify options and implement

Collect and analyse information



| | | | | Land use and management |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Focus group discussions | Social organisation |
| | | Collect and analyse information | Individual interviews | Mapping: social-ecological patches |
| | RESENT SITUATION | | Expert ecological | EIA and veld assessment |
| | | | mapping (GIS) Village walks for detailed resource discussions and mapping (key informants) | Water resources and sources |
| | | | | |
| | E | Joint analysis | Present situation in land use and management, including needs and issues | Local structures and decision making: Community and individual |







Improved decision making

Stakeholder engagement – innovation platforms and multistakeholder platforms

Adaptive planning workshops using layered socio ecological maps

Iterative experimentation with CRA practices to tackle more complex issues LGs, committees and community structures engage in resource management projects with a range of stakeholders

Committees discuss, plan and implement prioritized actions

Improved land use and management

BUILD IMPROVED SYSTEMS AND BUILD AGENCY



Improved decision making

Social organisation

Stakeholder engagement – innovation platforms and multistakeholder platforms

Adaptive planning workshops using layered socio ecological maps

Iterative experimentation with CRA practices to tackle more complex issues

Develop further organisations: e.g., water committees, marketing groups, VSLAs etc

Link youth groups in resource management and enterprise development LGs, committees and community structures engage in resource management projects with a range of stakeholders

Committees discuss, plan and implement prioritized actions

Improved land use and management

Structures developed for improved governance with broader and equitable community involvement linked to local authorities

BUILD IMPROVED SYSTEMS AND BUILD AGENCY



EMERGENT ACTIVITY: Governance

- Social learning and knowledge co-creation
- Improved **social agency** for local resource management; agreements, processes
- Community level improvement of governance: individual, group, village, and local stakeholder-based

Context: Institutional Governance

Paradigms strongly **commodity focused** within agro-industrial complex.

Implementation for governance and support virtually zero – Corruption now almost completely systemic

The few actions undertaken are often **counterproductive**



beneficiaries









Conservation Agriculture









Local farmer centre for input supply and sales



Summer cover crops



Winter cover crop mix



Dolichos beans CA plot



Strip cropping perennial fodder with short season maize



Maize and cowpea intercropped plot



Late bean planting alongside short season maize and other CA plots

Intensive homestead food production practices













Microclimate management: Shade cloth tunnels

Crop diversification

Improved irrigation practices: Irrigation scheduling (chameleon sensors)

Livestock integration: Fodder production



Cover crop options:

- Blocks or strips of SCC mix (fodder sorghum, sun hemp, sunflower), Dolichos and WCC mix (Saia oats, fodder rye, and fodder radish)
- Strips of fodder species, annual (Teff, turnips) and perennial (Lespedeza, Tall Fescue

Livestock integration: Fodder management



Collection of stover and cutting of veld grass for baling and grazing,



Manual baler used at household level





Grazing cover crops or stover in situ





Use of bales with winter fodder supplements, e.g. LS 33, premix450, protein blocks

Return of manure to the fields (carry or in situ)

Local water access: Spring protection and boreholes













- Springs secured and protected. Reticulated header tanks with either communal or household taps and tanks
- Water committees develop out of CRA learning groups and include traditional leadership
- These groups fully involved in assessing options, planning and designing the intervention, collection of monies , implementation, and maintenance

- Development of a water committee constitution with rules of operation, membership criteria, required contributions, etc
- Presently no legal option for providing such small schemes with any recognition and support (outside of a decree by the minister)
- Water Service Authorities slow and unwilling to recognize such options – but also not supporting communities







Village Saving and Loan Associations (VSLAs)

- 29 VLSAs, 535 participants
- Combined monetary value of over R1 million
- Savings and small loans used for consumption smoothing, debt repayments, ceremonies, food, home improvements, small businesses and farming
- VSLAs are crucial for continued involvement in farming and for more sustainable livelihoods

~R255 / farmer/ month saved

New innovation: Bulk Loan Funds - larger savings and longer term for greater loan potential – specifically for production



Local marketing options (appropriate for smallholder farmers)



• Food first, income from surplus (80% of participants)



- **Expansion** of existing cropping areas and types and number of crops grown (10-15%)
- **Production** specifically for sale (1-5%)
- Development of marketing options for aggregating small quantities of a range of products
- **Farmgate** (within villages); small local potential with low-income ceilings

- **Local market stalls** (combined across villages): much larger range of products and income potential, also now focus on labelling, branding, pricing, value adding and processing
- Bakkie traders, stores in local towns (individuals and groups within villages): generally commodity focused, and farmers are price takers good for larger quantities but no competitive advantage
- Sale to local retailers and supermarkets (individuals): requires transport, intermittent, price takers, little stability, competitive overall **low potential**







Improvement of livelihoods and incomes

| Commodity | Average monthly income /participant | Annual income potential |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Broilers | R1 025 | R12 294 |
| Layers (eggs) | R641 | R7 692 |
| Field crops: | | |
| Maize | R210 | R3 713 |
| Beans | R238 | R2 850 |
| Vegetables | R247 | R2 964 |
| All commodities | Average monthly value of food/ participant | |
| Estimate based on interviews | R700 | R8 400 |
| Commodity for a selection of participants only | Average monthly income/ participant | Annual income potential |
| Green Maize | R1 300 | R15 600 to R24 000 |
| Stall fed calves | R750 | R9 000 to R50 000 |
| Total value of production (excl. the selection) | R3 060 | R36 713 |



Averaged from production records. From further individual interviews actual averages Between R1 000-R3 300.

More than doubled production and incomes over a 2 -year period. Shows what is possible but much broader support required (more people, more villages)

Impact: Resilience snapshots (individual interviews)

| Resilience indicators | Increase for EC (21) | Increase for KZN (45) | Comment |
|--|--|---|---|
| Increase in size of farming activities | Gardening; 231% Field cropping; 0% Livestock: 8% | Gardening: 18% Field cropping: 153% Livestock: 6% | Cropping areas measured, no of livestock assessed Dryland cropping has reduced significantly due to drought conditions and infertile soil |
| Increased farming activities | Yes | Yes | All involved in gardening, field cropping and livestock management |
| Increased season | Yes | Yes | For field cropping and gardening- autumn and winter options |
| Increased crop diversity | Crops: 31 new (Ave11) Practices: 24 new(Ave10) | Crops: 21 new (Ave 7) Practices: 20 new (ave8) | New crops are ones not previously grown in the area Management options include; drip irrigation, tunnels, no-till planters, JoJo tanks, RWH drums, |
| Increased productivity (per season) | Gardening: 116kg Field cropping:-218kg | Gardening: 167kg Field cropping: 506kg | Based on increase in yields (mainly from tunnels and trench beds for gardening).CA for field cropping |
| Increased water use efficiency | 6 | 6 | Access, RWH, water holding capacity, irrigation efficiency and water access rated. Scale:o= same or worse than before; 1= somewhat better than before, 2= much better than before x 4 criteria (values of o to 8): |
| Increased income | R1 031 | R1 801 | Increase in average monthly income (Rands): Mostly through local marketing and small businesses. A number of participants have lost employment and grant incomes and replaced these with farming. Around 10% of participants have not improved their incomes |
| Increased household food provisioning (Kg/week) | Vegetables: 23kg Dryland crops:10kg Poultry: 2kg | Vegetables: 17kg Dryland crops:18kg Poultry: 2kg | Food produced and consumed in the household Dryland crops include maize, legumes, sweet potatoes, potatoes |
| Increased savings | R322/month | R262/month | Average of savings now undertaken |
| Increased social agency | 3 | 3 | Learning groups, farmer centres, local water committees |
| Increased informed decision making | 2 | 2 | Own experience, local facilitators, other farmers, facilitators, extension officers |
| Positive mindsets | 2 | 3 | More to much more positive about the future: Much improved household food security and food availability |